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Abstract—The effects of regular exercise versus a single bout of exercise on cognition, anxiety, and mood were systematically examined in healthy, sedentary young adults who were genotyped to determine brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF) allelic status (i.e., Val–Val or Val66Met polymorphism). Participants were evaluated on novel object recognition (NOR) memory and a battery of mental health surveys before and after engaging in either (a) a 4-week exercise program, with exercise on the final test day, (b) a 4-week exercise program, without exercise on the final test day, (c) a single bout of exercise on the final test day, or (d) remaining sedentary between test days. Exercise enhanced object recognition memory and produced a beneficial decrease in perceived stress, but only in participants who exercised for 4 weeks including the final day of testing. In contrast, a single bout of exercise did not affect recognition memory and resulted in increased perceived stress levels. An additional novel finding was that the improvements on the NOR task were observed exclusively in participants who were homozygous for the BDNF Val allele, indicating that altered activity-dependent release of BDNF in Met allele carriers may attenuate the cognitive benefits of exercise. Importantly, exercise-induced changes in cognition were not correlated with changes in mood/anxiety, suggesting that separate neural systems mediate these effects. These data in humans mirror recent data from our group in rodents. Taken together, these current findings provide new insights into the behavioral and neural mechanisms that mediate the effects of physical exercise on memory and mental health in humans. © 2012 IBRO. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.
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INTRODUCTION

Physical exercise of various intensities and durations can enhance cognition across the lifespan of humans (Cotman and Berchtold, 2002). For example, the amount of exercise during young adulthood can predict cognitive performance later in life (Dik et al., 2003). Similarly, long-term exercise can improve cognition (Davis et al., 2011), including executive function (Angevaren et al., 2008; Erikson and Kramer, 2009), and memory (Pérusse et al., 1997; Flöel et al., 2010), and decrease the risk for dementia (Colcombe and Kramer, 2003; Larson, 2008). However, there is significant variability in these findings due to differences in the exercise regimen and cognitive assessment (Kramer et al., 2005). Moreover, the literature is primarily comprised of retrospective rather than prospective studies (Lawlor and Hopker, 2001; Smith et al., 2010).

Among the few studies designed to test for a causal relationship between exercise and cognition, most used a single bout of exercise (Coles and Tomporowski, 2008; Hillman et al., 2009) and focused on executive function more than memory per se. Moreover, most studies report effects within 30 min of exercising, when effects on physiological arousal are still increased (Ferris et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007). Thus, it is difficult to determine whether changes in cognition are due to mechanism(s) that are unique to exercise per se, or simply reflect differences due to generalized heightened arousal. The distinction between the effects of exercise and arousal is particularly important because of the unique constellation of neural mechanisms that are activated by each. For instance, the metabolic demands associated with exercise are associated with changes in MAP/ERK and CAMKII signaling as well as increases in ghrelin and UCP-2, all of which are regulated by brain-derived neurotrophic factor (BDNF; Molteni et al., 2002; Ding et al., 2006). By comparison, these neural changes would not necessarily be activated — or not in the same pattern — as a result of general autonomic arousal, which is typically associated with central and peripheral catecholaminergic regulation (Audiffren, 2009). Moreover, there has been no systematic and direct comparison between the effects of acute and regular exercise in the same study, which would further provide an opportunity to draw distinctions between any underlying mechanisms that are unique to exercise (which may develop over time in response to repeated bouts of exercise) versus those that result from arousal.

It also remains unclear whether the effects of exercise on cognition can be dissociated from changes in mood and anxiety. Several studies have demonstrated...
that exercise can positively affect mental health (Annesi, 2004; Larun et al., 2006; Tkacz et al., 2008), and a recent meta-analysis reported that exercise interventions lasting 3–12 weeks effectively reduce anxiety measures in sedentary participants with a chronic illness (Herring et al., 2010). However, not all exercise interventions have been shown to produce positive affective changes (Lennox et al., 1990). Further, although single bouts of exercise affect mood and anxiety (Berger and Owen, 1998; Hansen et al., 2001), assessment has typically taken place immediately after exercise. Finally, though previous studies have examined the efficacy of exercise to treat emotional disorders, little is known about the effects of exercise on psychiatrically healthy participants.

Substantial research has also focused on the neural substrates that underlie the behavioral effects of exercise. Rodent studies have demonstrated that an increase in BDNF mediates the cognitive effects of exercise (Van Hoomissen et al., 2004; Vaynman et al., 2004; Hopkins and Bucci, 2010). Moreover, a polymorphism in the human BDNF gene (Val66Met; Egan et al., 2003; Frielingsdorf et al., 2010) alters activity-dependent release of BDNF and affects learning, memory, and emotion (Egan et al., 2003; Hajcak et al., 2009; Lau et al., 2010; Soliman et al., 2010). It is currently unknown whether the allelic status of BDNF influences the degree to which an individual may benefit from exercise.

We addressed several of these issues by testing the effects of a single bout of exercise versus a 4-week exercise regimen on cognition and mood/anxiety in healthy, sedentary young adults. Participants were evaluated on a recognition memory task modified from one used to demonstrate exercise-induced improvements in rats (Hopkins and Bucci, 2010; Hopkins et al., 2011), thus enhancing the translational value of the study. Evaluations were conducted before and after the exercise intervention (or no exercise), and DNA samples were collected to determine if BDNF genotype influences the effects of exercise.

**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Participants**

Seventy-five healthy young adults (ages 18–36) were recruited for this study based on sedentary lifestyle, which was defined as not having engaged in 20 min or more of purposeful physical activity more than two times a month over the previous 6 months; a definition at least strict as reported in other studies (Pérusse et al., 1997; Schachter et al., 2003). All participants were undergraduates from Dartmouth College or individuals recruited from the local Hanover, NH community. Prior to enrollment, all participants were screened for history of neurological or psychiatric disorders, psychotropic medications, pregnancy, nicotine use, or contraindications to physical activity to ensure that they were physically healthy and able to complete the exercise regimen. Written informed consent was obtained prior to the experiment in accordance with the Committee for Protection of Human Subjects of Dartmouth College. Participants received monetary compensation or course credit for participating.

**Procedures**

*Experimental design.* Participants visited the laboratory twice, with 4 weeks between Visit 1 and Visit 2. During each visit, participants completed the informed consent form, confirmed the accuracy of their health screening form, and completed the novel object recognition (NOR) task described below. Between the acquisition and recall phases of the task, participants also completed a battery of surveys and questionnaires (described below).

At the end of Visit 1, participants were randomly assigned to either a no-exercise control group or one of the three exercise conditions (described below), were given pedometers to monitor their daily activity, and received instructions regarding their group assignment to be followed for the remainder of the study. Prior to Visit 2, saliva samples were collected from each participant using an Oragene DNA collection kit (DNA Genotek, Kanata, Ontario, CA) and self-reported aerobic capacity (VO2 max) was obtained.

At each visit, participants reported their physical activity levels using the Physical Ability Questionnaire (PAQ), (George et al., 1997). The surveys included questions on participants’ perceived functional ability to walk, jog, or run given distances (PFA) and habitual physical activity (PA-R). Body mass index (BMI) was calculated from self-reported body weight (pounds) and self-reported body height (inches). Self-reported BMI and PAQ were used to estimate an approximate VO2 max for each participant using the method developed by George and colleagues (1997). This measure provided an index of self-reported physical fitness level for each participant, which was compared between Visit 1 and Visit 2. We also compared baseline measures of VO2 max between exercise groups in order to ensure that participants were of the same overall fitness ability at the beginning of the study.

**Novel object recognition task (Visits 1 and 2).** A computer-based NOR task was developed to test visual recognition memory ability. All images used in the task were compiled from Clip Art in Microsoft Excel (Microsoft Office 2011) and Google image searches. Images were selected based on ratings of recognizability and nameability (all objects were highly recognizable and readily nameable), neutrality (all objects rated as neutral, not positive or negative) and arousal (all objects rated as non-arousing) as assessed in a pilot study with 23 graduate students (16 female), for a final set of 200 images. During pilot testing, participants were asked to flag any items that were not readily nameable or were not perceived as neutral in emotional valence. Any flagged objects were removed from the final set of images. In order to prevent ceiling effects, the task parameters (i.e., duration of stimulus presentation, inter-trial interval, etc.) were designed to be relatively difficult, with an average percent accuracy between 70% and 80%.

In the first phase of the task, participants passively viewed a series of images (encoding phase; 50 images). Images were presented for 250 ms interspersed with a 1000 ms fixation cross (see Fig. 1A). The images and fixation cross were presented in the center of the screen on a white background. The images were created in PowerPoint and they were size adjusted to take up approximately 1/4–1/2 of the computer screen. All of the images ranged between 12 and 22 cm along their longest axis and ranged from 5 to 20 cm on their shortest axis. In the second phase of the task, participants completed the mental health surveys and questionnaires (described below). This phase also served as a distractor and took approximately 15 min to complete. In the final phase, participants completed the recognition memory task (retrieval phase; 100 images). Fifty images were “old” objects that participants had seen during the encoding phase, and 50 were “new” objects that participants had not previously seen (see Fig. 1B). Participants were instructed to indicate by key presses whether each object was a member of the original set, or whether it was a new object. The order of “old” and “new” images was randomized for each retrieval block (four different versions). The duration of the images was controlled by participant’s response time (there was no fixation during the retrieval block). Participants used both hands to respond on the keyboard to indicate whether each object was “old” (press 1 or 9) or “new” (press 1 or 9), counterbalanced across participants. Accuracy served as the primary variable of interest and was defined as the percentage of objects correctly identified as old.
of the 4-week experiment, a minimum of 2 h prior to the second visit to the laboratory (range was 2–4 h). Participants in the other group (group 4W−) were required not to exercise on the day of the second visit.

As an acute-exercise control, a third group of participants (group 0W+) were instructed to maintain the same level of physical activity they had engaged in for the previous 6 months, but to exercise once on the final day of the study, at least 2 h prior to second testing (walk or jog continuously on a treadmill for 30 min at a minimum speed of 3.5 mph). Finally, a fourth set of participants (group 0W−) served as a no-exercise control group and were required to maintain the same level of physical activity they had been engaged in for the previous 6 months. Specifically, participants in groups 0W+ and 0W− were instructed that aerobic exercise exceeding 20 min on more than two occasions during the 4 weeks between test days would exclude them from the study.

**Daily data collection between Visits 1 and 2.** Each participant was provided with a pedometer (HJ-113, Omron©) that they were instructed to wear at all times for the duration of the 4-week study. The pedometers automatically recorded and stored the total number steps, distance, and aerobic steps every 24 h. The pedometer aerobic mode was activated after 10 min of walking more than 60 steps per minute and deactivated after a 1-min break from steps. Thus, total number of aerobic steps represents a measurement of aerobic activity for each individual. Participants reported these data on a daily basis through email correspondence and also completed a STAI-Y1 survey and the PANAS-P/N survey.

**Genetic screening.** DNA was extracted and pelletled according to the manufacturer’s protocol (DNA Genotek, Kanata, Ontario, CA). Briefly, samples were incubated at 50 °C for 1 h. Next 500 μL of each sample was transferred to a 1.5 mL microcentrifuge tube, 20 μL of Orageneo© Purifier solution (OG-L2P) was added, and samples were mixed by vortexing for a few seconds. Samples were then incubated on ice for 10 min, followed by centrifugation at room temperature for 5 min at 13,000 rpm. Next, the supernatants were transferred into fresh microcentrifuge tubes, and the DNA was precipitated using 500 μL of 95% ethanol. Samples were centrifuged at room temperature for 2 min at 13,000 rpm. Supernatants were discarded and the DNA pellets were washed once with 250 μL of 70% ethanol, followed by resuspension in 100 μL of TE solution. BDNF allelic status was determined using the TaqMan® SNP Genotyping Assays protocol (Applied Biosystems, Carlsbad, CA) by the Translational Research Program in the Department of Pathology at Dartmouth Hitchcock Medical Center.

**Data analysis**

**Data from Visits 1 and 2.** Data obtained for the recognition memory task and mental health measures during Visits 1 and 2 were subjected to a repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) using Group (0W−, 0W+, 4W−, 4W+) as the independent variable and Visit as the within-subjects variable. Significant interactions were decomposed using one-way ANOVAs and pair-wise comparisons (t-tests). A primary question of interest was whether the exercise intervention between visits would affect cognitive and psychological measures; thus, difference scores were calculated for each dependent measure by subtracting scores before the exercises from scores after the exercises for each participant. Prior to analysis, we determined if there were any differences between participants with the Val/Val...
genotype versus Met carriers in each exercise condition. Lastly, simple regressions were carried out to test for relationships between any measures that were affected by exercise.

**Daily measures.** To determine whether exercise affected daily mood or anxiety during the 4-week period between Visits 1 and 2, daily email data from participants in the 4W− and 4W+ groups were pooled (since participants in both groups were given identical exercise instructions throughout the 4-week study). The daily email data were separated into two groups depending on whether the individual had exercised that day. A paired t-test then carried out for each measure comparing the data on no-exercise days (No-EX) and exercise days (EX).

For the daily exercise data, the average number of aerobic steps taken by participants in each group across the 4-week period between visits was subjected to a one-way ANOVA to test for group differences in the amount of exercise. In addition, data were pooled for participants in the 4W− and 4W+ groups and a paired t-test was used to compare the number of aerobic steps taken on exercise (EX) versus non-exercise (NX) days. Lastly, a simple regression was used to examine the relationship between aerobic steps taken and aerobic capacity (VO₂ max). An alpha level of 0.05 was used for all analyses in the study.

**RESULTS**

Of the 75 participants initially enrolled in the study, 21 were excluded from the analyses due to discontinuation (n = 10), compliance issues (n = 3), depression level (BDI score > 15; n = 4), incorrect task assignment (n = 3), and outlier on task performance (n = 1; object recognition accuracy data were more than 2 standard deviations from the mean on both Visits 1 and 2, as were BDI and STAI-Y1 data). Of the remaining 54 participants (average age = 20.6 ± 0.4 years), 13 were in the 0W− group (12 females/1 male, mean age = 20.9 ± 0.5), 14 were in the 4W− group (9 females/5 males, mean age = 20.4 ± 0.9), 12 were in the 4W+ group (8 females/4 males, mean age = 21.3 ± 0.9), and 15 were in the 0W+ group (11 females/4 males, mean age = 19.8 ± 0.7). There were no gender differences on any behavioral measure (Ps > 0.1) and so the data were collapsed across gender in each treatment condition.

Thirty-one participants were Val homozygous genotype (Val/Val), and 23 were Met carriers (19 Val/Met; 4 Met/Met). Participants with the Met allele were combined into one group because of the low incidence of Met/Met genotype. The incidence of Val/Val and Met carriers in each exercise group is noted in Table 1. The population frequency for carrying at least one Met allele is 50% in Asians, 30% in Caucasians, and 4% in African-Americans (Shimizu et al., 2004).

**Table 1.** Sample sizes of Val/Val and Met-carrying participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0W−</th>
<th>4W−</th>
<th>4W+</th>
<th>0W+</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Val/Val</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Met carrier</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Daily exercise**

The pedometer data for four participants were not included in the analysis because of technical difficulties with their pedometers (one participant in each of the 0W− and 4W− groups, and two participants in the 0W+ group). A one-way ANOVA conducted on the remaining data revealed a significant group difference in the average number of aerobic steps taken each day during the 4-week period between Visits 1 and 2 [F(3,48) = 25.5, P < 0.0001]. As expected, participants in the two groups that exercised during the 4-week period (4W−, 4W+) each took more aerobic steps than those in the 0W− or 0W+ groups (Ps < 0.0001) as shown in Fig. 2. There were no significant differences between groups 0W− and 0W+ (P > 0.9) or between groups 4W− and 4W+ (P > 0.8). Furthermore, a paired t-test that compared the average number of aerobic steps taken on EX versus No-EX days for participants in groups 4W− and 4W+ (pooled together) confirmed that participants took more aerobic steps on days that they exercised compared to non-exercise days [t(24) = 8.3, P < 0.0001]. The mean number of aerobic steps taken on No-EX days and EX days was 5218.4 ± 412.1 and 1333.8 ± 248.2, respectively. In addition, there was a statistically significant relationship between the average daily number of aerobic steps and VO₂ max (r = 0.3, P < 0.02).

**Novel object recognition task**

The percentage of objects each group accurately identified as old or new during Visits 1 and 2 is illustrated in Fig. 3A. A repeated measures ANOVA did not detect a main effect of Group (P > 0.5), but did reveal a significant main effect of Visit [F(1,50) = 6.3, P < 0.02] and a significant Group × Visit interaction [F(3,50) = 2.97, P < 0.04]. A follow up analysis found no group differences during Visit 1 (P < 0.4), indicating that accuracy was comparable in all groups prior to the exercise manipulation. Similarly, a one-way ANOVA of the Visit 2 data did not reveal any group differences (P > 0.2). Instead, the significant Group × Visit interaction was driven by differences between groups in the change in performance on Visit 2 compared to Visit 1. For the 0W− and 4W− groups, accuracy decreased significantly during Visit 2 compared to Visit 1 (Ps < 0.05); this was not the case.
for the 4W+ or 0W+ groups (Ps > 0.1). Moreover, as illustrated in Fig. 3B and confirmed by a one-way ANOVA \([F(3,50) = 2.97, P < 0.04]\), the difference scores (accuracy during Visit 2 minus accuracy during Visit 1) were significantly different between groups. Post-hoc analyses indicated that the difference scores of participants in the 4W+ group were significantly higher than those in the 0W− group (Ps > 0.2) or the 4W− group (Ps > 0.01). The difference between the 4W+ and 0W+ groups did not reach statistical significance (Ps > 0.3). Notably, the 4W+ group was the only group with a positive accuracy difference score, indicating improvement from Visit 1 to Visit 2. As shown in Fig. 3C, planned comparisons indicated that this was driven primarily by significantly higher difference scores in Val homozygotes compared to Met carriers in group 4W+ \([t(10) = 2.7, P < 0.03]\). Moreover, Val/Val participants exhibited a significant increase in accuracy during Visit 2 compared to Visit 1 (Ps < 0.05) but Met carriers did not (Ps > 0.3).

Mood and anxiety measures during Visits 1 and 2

**Perceived stress (PSS).** Data from the perceived stress surveys are illustrated in Fig. 4A. There were no main effects of Group or Visit (Ps > 0.5), but there was a significant Group × Visit interaction. Follow-up ANOVAs did not reveal any group differences during Visit 1 (Ps > 0.4) or Visit 2 (Ps > 0.3). However, there was a significant increase in perceived stress during Visit 2 compared to Visit 1 for the 0W+ group (Ps < 0.04) and a significant decrease in perceived stress in the 4W+ group (Ps < 0.05). This was also reflected in the difference scores, which differed significantly across groups \([F(3,50) = 3.2, P < 0.03]\) with the 4W+ group exhibiting a significantly greater difference score compared to the 0W− group (Ps > 0.02) and 0W+ group (Ps < 0.005), as shown in Fig. 4B. No other pair-wise comparisons achieved statistical significance (Ps > 0.1) and there were no differences between Val/Val and Met-carrying participants in any group (Ps > 0.3). There was also no significant relationship between accuracy on the NOR task and perceived stress (Ps > 0.1).

**Positive mood (PANASP).** The positive mood ratings for each group are depicted in Fig. 5A. Although there was no main effect of Group (Ps > 0.9) or Visit (Ps > 0.07), there was a significant Group × Visit interaction \([F(3,50) = 3.0, P < 0.04]\). One-way ANOVA indicated that there were no group differences during Visit 1 (Ps > 0.6) or during Visit 2 (Ps > 0.2). However, there
was a significant increase in positive mood from Visit 1 to Visit 2 in participants in the 4W− group ($P < 0.02$). Analysis of the difference scores also revealed a significant difference between groups [$F(3,50) = 3.0, P < 0.04$], and post-hoc tests indicated that difference score for the 4W− group was significantly higher than scores for the 0W− ($P < 0.01$) and 0W+ groups ($P < 0.02$), as illustrated in Fig. 5B. No other pair-wise comparisons achieved statistical significance ($Ps > 0.3$) and there were no differences between Val/Val and Met-carrying participants in any group ($Ps > 0.1$). There was also no significant relationship between accuracy on the NOR task and positive mood ($P > 0.2$).

Depression Index (BDI). Depression scores for each group during Visits 1 and 2 are indicated in Table 2. The main effect of Visit approached statistical significance ($P = 0.06$), as did the Group × Visit interaction ($P = 0.06$). However, there was no significant relationship between BDI and object memory performance ($Ps > 0.3$). There was also no significant main effect of Group ($P > 0.3$).

State anxiety (STAI-Y1). Data from the state anxiety inventory during Visits 1 and 2 are shown in Table 3. There were no differences between groups at either visit, as confirmed by a repeated measures ANOVA that failed to detect any main effects or interactions ($Ps > 0.5$).

Negative mood (PANASN). Negative mood ratings are included in Table 4. There were no significant group differences, main effects of Visit, or a Group × Visit interaction ($Ps > 0.3$).

Trait anxiety (STAI-Y2). A one-way ANOVA indicated that there were no significant differences between groups on the trait anxiety inventory administered during Visit 1 ($P > 0.8$). The mean for each group was $30.7 ± 2.2$ (0W−), $32.1 ± 2.5$ (4W−), $33.5 ± 2.3$ (4W+), and $32.3 ± 2.1$ (0W+).

Daily mood/anxiety surveys

Data from the daily STAI-Y1, PANASP, and PANASN surveys on No-EX and EX days between Visits 1 and 2 are illustrated in Fig. 6. State anxiety was significantly lower on EX days versus No-EX days [$t(25) = 3.2, P < 0.0001$]. In addition, positive mood (PANASP) was higher on EX days compared to No-EX days [$t(25) = −3.7, P < 0.001$]. The group difference on the
negative mood survey (PANAS) did not reach statistical significance ($P > 0.09$). There were no differences between Val/Val and Met-carrying participants on any of the daily mood/anxiety measures ($Ps > 0.2$).

**DISCUSSION**

We found that an acute exercise session combined with a regular exercise regimen augmented recognition memory and decreased perceived stress in sedentary, healthy young adults. In contrast, a single bout of exercise alone had no effect on recognition memory and increased self-reported stress. Further, the data indicate that a common genetic polymorphism may have an important role in the influence that exercise has on memory since the effects were only observed in participants who were homozygous for the Val allele of the BDNF gene. Here we discuss the implications and limitations associated with each of these results.

**Effects of exercise on object recognition memory**

Consistent with previous reports demonstrating that regular exercise can improve cognitive function in aging as well as diseased populations, (Colman and Berchtold, 2002; Kramer and Erickson, 2007; Larson, 2008), we showed that regular exercise had a beneficial effect on memory in previously sedentary but otherwise healthy, young adults. However, this was only true for participants who exercised on the final day of testing after the 4-week exercise intervention (i.e., the 4W+ group). Considered in isolation, this finding raises the possibility that the effect could be attributed to the single bout of exercise on the testing day (Peyrin et al., 1987; Ferris et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007; Coles and Tomporowski, 2008; Hillman et al., 2009). However, the 0W+ group, who also exercised on the final day of testing, did not exhibit any significant effects of exercise on recognition memory, similar to the 0W− group and the 4W− group.

These data may reflect a gradual development in the beneficial effects of regular exercise, whereby an acute bout of mild exercise can confer cognitive benefits to individuals who regularly engage in exercise. In other words, the degree to which an acute exercise session will influence cognitive performance may depend on the individual’s previous physical activity habits. Consistent with this notion, it is well-established that regular exercise facilitates long-term potentiation (LTP; van Praag et al., 1999), which could reflect a type of molecular priming that influences neural plasticity and long-term memory formation after acute exercise. Further, it has been shown that regular exercise was related to increased BDNF levels when rats were re-exposed to a brief exercise regimen that was not sufficient to increase BDNF levels in naïve rats (Berchtold et al., 2005). These data resonate with our findings and suggest that regular exercise has an enduring effect on the molecular machinery responsible for elevating BDNF. Future studies could enroll both sedentary controls and participants who are already regular exercisers (e.g., varsity athletes) to directly compare the effect of a single bout of exercise between these groups.

In the present study, we intentionally employed a 2h gap between acute exercise and the final testing session to minimize any effects on memory and/or mood resulting from physiological arousal. Previous studies have found increases in cognitive performance after more intense acute physical activity within 10–30 min of exercising (Tomporowski, 2003), and evidence suggests that exercise-induced increases in circulating catecholamines might mediate these short-term cognitive improvements (Ferris et al., 2007; Winter et al., 2007). Although we did not measure catecholamine levels in our current study, any acute physiological changes due to exercise would be expected to have returned to baseline by the time we tested participants (Lambert et al., 2000; Dietrich and Audiffren, 2011). Thus, our data emphasize the difference between immediate and delayed improvements in cognitive performance resulting from an acute exercise session.

**Genetic influences on the effects of exercise on object recognition memory**

The observed effect of exercise on recognition memory was associated with individual differences in BDNF genotype. Namely, participants who were homozygous for the Val allele within the 4W+ group that exhibited a significant increase in object recognition accuracy after exercise, while Met carriers did not. We note that although the Val66Met polymorphism does not affect BDNF protein function, it does result in altered intracellular trafficking of pro-BDNF and decreased availability of activity-dependent BDNF (Egan et al., 2003). In humans, BDNF Met carriers exhibit decreased experience-related neural plasticity (Kleim et al., 2006; Cheeran et al., 2008) and learning/memory impairments compared to individuals without the polymorphism (Egan et al., 2003; Hajcak et al., 2009). Thus, the altered activity-dependent release of BDNF in Met allele carriers may attenuate the cognitive benefits resulting from exercise.

**Effects of exercise on mood and anxiety**

In addition to enhancing recognition memory, exercise reduced perceived stress only in the 4W+ group. In fact, the group that exercised only on the day of Visit 2 (0W+ group) experienced an increase in perceived stress compared to Visit 1. In contrast, the only group that exhibited a change in positive mood was group 4W−, which exercised for 4 weeks but did not exercise on the day of Visit 2. Further, our daily survey data provide evidence that the 4-week exercise intervention improved psychological well-being since participants in groups 4W− and 4W+ experienced a decrease in state anxiety and an increase in positive mood on exercise days compared to non-exercise days.

In general, these data are consistent with the notion that regular exercise results in overall improvements in psychological well-being, while revealing that different exercise regimens have varying effects on specific psychological outcomes. There are several reasons why the acute exercise intervention employed here increased perceived stress. First, prior research shows that while
acute exercise enhances both cognitive performance and psychological well-being in regular exercisers, sedentary individuals report decreased (Boutcher et al., 1997) or no change in mood (Hoffman and Hoffman, 2008). Furthermore, positive gains in mood have been observed in individuals who increase their physical activity levels after adjusting to regular exercise (Gauvin et al., 1996). Based on these findings, single bouts of exercise may be beneficial only for individuals who have been physically active over a longer period of time.

Importantly, we found no significant relationship between recognition memory and mood or anxiety, supporting the notion that the effects of exercise on cognition are not simply due to exercise-induced changes in affect. This is further supported by the finding that positive mood was only enhanced in the 4W+ group. Moreover, the observation that exercise decreased stress and increased positive mood when measured at least 2 h after the last bout of exercise extends prior research that carried out assessments either during or immediately after exercise (Berger and Owen, 1998; Hansen et al., 2001). Finally, the present effects on psychological well-being were observed in healthy young adults, data that extend previous studies showing similar effects in clinical populations (Blumenthal et al., 1999, 2007; Pinchasov et al., 2000).

Caveats and future directions

In the present study, NOR performance decreased over a 4-week period for participants in the 0W− and 4W− groups. Because stimuli were counterbalanced across participants and testing sessions, this observation was not a function of changes in task difficulty. However, our aim was to test between group differences as a function of different exercise regimens. Indeed, our predictions were driven by object recognition studies in rodents showing that non-exercising rats were not able to successfully discriminate between novel and familiar objects, but exercise resulted in successful discrimination (Griffin et al., 2009; Hopkins and Bucci, 2010; Hopkins et al., 2011).

The finding that the effect of exercise on object recognition memory in group 4W+ was influenced by BDNF genotype is a potentially important new finding. Although the sample sizes of the Val−Val and Met-carrying participants in group 4W+ were relatively small (6/group), we note that effect size was 1.5 and the achieved power was 0.7 (G-power Statistical Software). Nonetheless, future studies are needed to further explore the intriguing notion that BDNF genotype may mitigate the effects of exercise. Likewise, it would be interesting to determine if there are sex differences in how BDNF genotype interacts with exercise.

Unlike these effects of exercise on recognition memory, we did not observe any differences between Val homozygotes and Met-carrying participants on mood or anxiety. Indeed, there is mixed evidence as to whether the Val66Met polymorphism leads to an increased risk of mental illness. While some studies have shown higher prevalence of depression (Blumenthal et al., 1999) and anxiety (Jiang et al., 2005; Montag et al., 2010) in Met carriers, others do not (Hashimoto et al., 2004; Lang et al., 2005; Frustaci et al., 2008; Duncan et al., 2009). Given the inconsistency of these findings, it is likely that other environmental factors (e.g., early life stress) may interact with BDNF genotype (Casey et al., 2009) to mediate the risk of mood disorders (Gatt et al., 2009; Frielingsdorf et al., 2010).

CONCLUSION

The present report presents data illustrating the beneficial effects of exercise on measures of cognition and psychological well-being in healthy individuals, and offers data identifying a genetic mediator of these effects. These data are more compelling because they broadly replicate previous findings in rats, showing a similar BDNF mediation of improvement in recognition memory as well as reduced anxiety-like behavior following exercise (Hopkins and Bucci, 2010; Hopkins et al., 2011). Given the functional relationship between exercise and BDNF activity now demonstrated in humans and non-human animals, a common polymorphism in the BDNF gene may exert influence over the degree to which an individual may benefit from exercise.

Acknowledgements—This research was supported by a grant from the Rockefeller Center at Dartmouth College (DB & MH) and NIH grants R01MH082893 (DB) and R01MH080716 (PW). The authors thank Dr. Gregory Tsongalis and colleagues for processing the DNA samples, and Rachel Eggleston, Jennifer Buchholz, Matthew Miner, Dallis Fox, and Cynthia Akagbosu for assistance in collecting the data.

REFERENCES


(Accepted 20 April 2012)
(Available online 30 April 2012)